Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,942	SHAPIRO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas J. Dailey	2452
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Thomas J. Dailey</u> .	(3)	
(2) Anthony Jones.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 October 2008</u>	Time: <u>12:45 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-6 and 14-17		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Discussed examiner's amendment to removed intended use limitations. For example, claim 1 previously recited, "whereby communication from the first node to the second node is enabled along the selected path," and was suggested to recite, "and enabling communication from the first node to the second node along the selected path." Applicant agreed to examiner's suggestions.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)